

# Exercise: Formulate integer programming problems

## 1 Linear programming problem properties

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the numbers  $s$  and  $t$  to make the linear programming problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & x_1 + x_2 \\ \text{subject to} & s \cdot x_1 + t \cdot x_2 \leq 1 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

- (i) has an optimal solution,
- (ii) be infeasible,
- (iii) be unbounded.

- (i)  $s > 0$  and  $t > 0$
- (ii) Never. (Instead, if we change the constraint into  $s \cdot x_1 + t \cdot x_2 \leq -1$ , the linear programming problem is infeasible.)
- (iii)  $s \leq 0$  and/or  $t \leq 0$

## 2 LP formulation

A meat packing plant produces 480 hams, 400 pork bellies, and 230 picnic hams every day; each of these products can be sold either fresh or smoked. The total number of hams, bellies, and picnics that can be smoked during a normal working day is 420; in addition, up to 250 products can be smoked on overtime at a higher cost. The *net* profit are as follows.

	Fresh	Smoked on regular time	Smoked on overtime
Hams	\$8	\$14	\$11
Bellies	\$4	\$12	\$7
Picnics	\$4	\$13	\$9

The objective is to find the schedule that maximizes the total net profit. Formulate as an LP problem.

Let  $h_f, h_{sr}$ , and  $h_{so}$  be the amount of fresh, smoked on regular time, and smoked over time ham,

respectively. The variables  $b_f, b_{sr}, b_{so}, p_f, p_{sr}$ , and  $p_{so}$  are defined for bellies and picnics, similarly.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{maximize} & 8h_f + 14h_{sr} + 11h_{so} + 4b_f + 12b_{sr} + 7b_{so} + 4p_f + 13p_{sr} + 9p_{so} \\
 \text{subject to} & h_f + h_{sr} + h_{so} \leq 480 \\
 & b_f + b_{sr} + b_{so} \leq 400 \\
 & p_f + p_{sr} + p_{so} \leq 230 \\
 & h_{sr} + b_{sr} + p_{sr} \leq 420 \\
 & h_{so} + b_{so} + p_{so} \leq 250 \\
 & h_f, h_{sr}, h_{so}, b_f, b_{sr}, b_{so}, p_f, p_{sr}, p_{so} \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

### 3 Variation of the knapsack problem

Suppose that you are interested in choosing a set of investments  $\{1, \dots, 7\}$  using 0–1 variables. Model the following constraints:

- (i) You must choose at least one of them.
- (ii) You cannot invest in all of them.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{(i)} & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7 \geq 1 \\
 \text{(ii)} & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7 \leq 6
 \end{array}$$

### 4 Simplex method

Consider the linear programming problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{maximize} & 40x + 30y \\
 \text{subject to} & x + 2y \leq 16 \\
 & x + y \leq 9 \\
 & 3x + 2y \leq 24 \\
 & x, y \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

Recall that during the lecture, we started at the feasible solution  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ , and then improved the objective value by fixing  $x = 0$  and increasing  $y$ . Please run the simplex method by fixing  $y = 0$  and increasing  $x$ .

**Round 0: adding slack variables.**

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \text{maximize} & 40x + 30y \\
 \text{subject to} & s_1 = 16 - x - 2y \\
 & s_2 = 9 - x - y \\
 & s_3 = 24 - 3x - 2y \\
 & x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

**Round 1: fixing  $y = 0$  and increasing  $x$ .** Since  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$ , the value of  $x$  cannot be larger than  $\min\{16, 9, 8\} = 8$ . By setting  $x = 8$ , we get an objective value of  $40 \cdot 8 + 0 = 320$ .

In the case of  $x = 8$  and  $y = 0$ , the constraint  $s_3 = 24 - 3x - 2y$  is tight. We replace all  $x$  by  $8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3$  and get the following linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & 40\left(8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3\right) + 30y \\ \text{subject to} \quad & s_1 = 16 - \left(8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3\right) - 2y \\ & s_2 = 9 - \left(8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3\right) - y \\ & s_3 = 24 - 3\left(8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3\right) - 2y \\ & x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & 320 + \frac{10}{3}y - 20s_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & s_1 = 8 - \frac{4}{3}y + \frac{1}{3}s_3 \\ & s_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{3}y + \frac{1}{3}s_3 \\ & x = 8 - \frac{2}{3}y - \frac{1}{3}s_3 \\ & x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Round 2:** Since the only variable in the objective with a positive coefficient is  $y$ , we fix  $s_3 = 0$  and increase  $y$ . Since  $s_1, x \geq 0$ , the value of  $y$  cannot be larger than  $\min\{12, 3\} = 3$ . By setting  $y = 3$ , we get an objective value of  $320 + \frac{10}{3} \cdot 3 + 0 = 330$ .

In the case of  $y = 3$  and  $s_3 = 0$ , the constraint  $s_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{3}y + \frac{1}{3}s_3$  is tight. We replace all  $y$  by  $3 - 3s_2 + s_3$  and get the following linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & 320 + \frac{10}{3}(3 - 3s_2 + s_3) - 20s_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & s_1 = 8 - \frac{4}{3}(3 - 3s_2 + s_3) + \frac{1}{3}s_3 \\ & y = 3 - 3s_2 + s_3 \\ & x = 8 - \frac{2}{3}(3 - 3s_2 + s_3) - \frac{1}{3}s_3 \\ & x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize} \quad & 330 - 10s_2 - \frac{50}{3}s_3 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & s_1 = 4 + 4s_2 - s_3 \\ & y = 3 - 3s_2 + s_3 \\ & x = 6 + 2s_2 - s_3 \\ & x, y, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

After round 2, the objective value 330 cannot be further improved by increasing  $s_2$  or  $s_3$  since  $s_2, s_3 \geq 0$ . Therefore, 330 is the optimal value.