matching and flow: A matching in a Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm graph is a subset of the edges  $M \subseteq E$  of the graph such that each vertex in the graph is incident on at most one edge in M.A maximum matching in a graph is a matching with the largest number of edges among all matchings in the graph. A matching M is called maximal if there is no edge e in the graph such that  $M \cup e$  is also a matching. Every maximum matching is also maximal M-augmenting path: Let M be a matching. A path P is called M-augmenting if

- the edges in P are alternatingly in <u>Cut</u>: M and  $\overline{M}, (\overline{M} = E(G) \setminus M$
- the first and last edges of P are not in M. and
- the first and last vertices, i.e, the endpoints of P are not in M

Augmenting a matching M with an M-augmenting path. Let P be the Maugmenting path. To get a larger matching M'

- If  $e \in P \setminus M$ , add it to M'
- If  $e \in P \cap M$ , don't add it to M'
- Add every edge  $e \in M \setminus P$  to M'

<u>Claim</u>: M' is a matching <u>Claim</u>: M' is larger than M Lemma: M is maximum  $\iff \nexists$  M-augmenting path

Bipartite graphs are those whose vertices can be partitioned into two sets such that no edge of the graph has both its end-points in the same set. Auxillary graph: To create an auxillary graph, direct the edges of the bipartite graph as follows:

- If  $e \in M$ , direct it from right to left.
- If  $e \notin M$ , direct it from left to right.

(If we were to start with an unmatched vertex on the right, we would reverse the directions listed above.)

Flow and Cuts Residual Network: Let f be a flow in the network G = (V, A)with capacities c. Define the residual network  $G_f$  as: For every arc (v, w) in A:

- If f(v, w) < c(v, w), then (v, w) is a forward arc in  $G_f$  of residual capacity  $c_f(v, w) = c(v, w) - f(v, w)$
- If f(v, w) > 0, then (w, v) is a backward arc in  $G_f$  of residual capacity  $c_f(w, v) = f(v, w).$

1: procedure MaxFlow(G, c) 2: f : f(v, w) = 0 for all (v, w) in Indesert(H,k): O(1), Union(H1,H2): O(1), 3: Construct residual network Gf in Gf do 5:  $x = \min\{cf(v, w) | (v, w) in P\}$ 6: for (v, w) in P do 7: if (v, w) is forward arc then 8: f(v, w) = f(v, w) + x9: else 10: f(v, w) = f(v, w) - x11: return f

•  $S \cup T = V$ 

•  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ 

•  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ 

capacity of a cut: C(S,T)=  $\sum_{v \in S, w \in T: (v,w) \in A} c(v,w)$ the flow of a cut is defined likewise.

<u>Lemma</u>: For every s-t cut  $(S, T), |f| \leq$ f(S,T)

Theorem 3.3, Ford-Fulkerson: The maximum value of flow in a network Gis equal to the capacity of a cut in the smallest capacity.

## Edmonds-Karp Algorithm

1: procedure MaxFlow(G, c) 2: f : f(v, w) = 0 for all (v, w)3: Construct residual network Gf 4: Find the shortest path P from s to t in Gf using BFS 5: if P exists then 6:  $x = \min\{cf(v, w) | (v, w) in P\}$ 7: for (v, w) in P do 8: if (v, w) is forward arc then 9: f(v, w) = f(v, w) + x10: else 11: f(v, w) = f(v, w) - x

Theorem 3.5 Edmonds-Karp algorithm finds a maximum flow in a given flow network in  $O(n \cdot m^2)$  time. *m* is the amount of edges. Claim 3.6: In every iteration of flow augmentation, the length of the augmenting path monotonically increases. <u>Claim 3.7</u>: Each edge  $e \in E(G)$ becomes critical at most n/2 times

Averag cost Accounting method: Prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \ge 0$  for all n. Potential function: Phi that maps the configuration of the data structure to real number.  $Phi_1 > \Phi_0$  for all *i* and  $a_i = t_i + \Phi_i - \Phi_{i-1}$ 

Fibonacci heaps Heap: insert:  $O(\log(n))$ , find-min: O(1), Extract-min  $O(\log(n)).$ 

Amount order of a node: of childeren, order of a tree: order of root, Decrease-Key(heap H, node x, key k) 4: while There is a path P from s to the node x into k if k is smaller than key x's parent p Cut x from p Cascading-Cut(H, p) Update min(H) Cascading-Cut(heap H, node p) if p is not marked then Mark p else Cut p from its parent q, unmark p cas(H, q)Decrease-Key can take  $O(m) = \max$ height of tree time. Extract-Min(heap H) Delete the min node y from H for each child z of y do The subtree rooted on z becomes a new tree in H, unmark z Consolidation(H) Update min(H) Consolidation(heap H)

for i = 0 to Max-degree(H) do Pair the trees with order and make the one with the larger root-key value a new child of the other one

Extract-min takes O(Max-degree + t)time and t is the number of trees.

Delete(heap H, node x) Decrease-Key(H, x, -infty) Extract-Min(H)

amortized Decrease-key is O(1), Extract-min is O(Max-Degree).

Minimum Spanning Trees: In the minimum spanning trees problem, we are given a weighted undirected graph G =(V, E, w). The goal is to find a subset  $E' \subseteq E$  of edges that connects all vertices in V such that the total weight of the edges in E' is minimized.

lemma If the weights are unique the minimum spanning trees are also unique. A light edge for a component C is an edge (u, v) such that there is exactly one end-Amortized Analysis Aggregate method:

Boruvka's algorithm

```
while F is not a spanning tree do
  Add all light edges
```

Boruvka is  $O(|E| \log |V|)$ 

Prim's algorithm Start at a singleton T Repeatedly adding the light edge of T to F

$$\begin{split} O(|E| \cdot \log |E|) &= O(|E| log |V|) \text{ and using fibbonacci heaps } O(|E| + |V| \log |V|) \\ \text{Kruskal}(\mathbf{G}): \end{split}$$

Scan all edges by increasing weight if an edge is light for some component, add it to F

Total time complexity is dominated by time of sorting the edges

**Approximation Algorithms** 

approximation ratio:  $\max_{I} \{\frac{\text{ALG}(I)}{\text{OPT}(I)}\}\$ (minimization) and  $\max_{I} \{\frac{\text{ALG}(I)}{\text{OPT}(I)}\}\$ (maximization) it is an  $\alpha$ -approximation if the approximation ratio is smaller than  $\alpha$ .

**String Matching:** Naïef

NaiveMatch(A,P)

for k:=0 to n-m
 if Checkmatch(A,k,P)
 output k
 return
Checkmatch(A,k,P)
 for i :=0 to m-1
 if A[k+i] \neq P[i]
 return false
 return true
 looptijd O(nm) en gemmiddelde looptijd is O(1).
 Rabin-Karp
RK3(A,P)
 ph := String2Int(P) % q

sh := String2Int(A[0...m-1]) % q

for k := 0 to n-m

if (ph == sh)

**Gerandomiseerde** algoritmes: Las Vegas: Antwoord altijd correct maar rekentijd is niet te voorspellen <u>Monte Carlo</u>: Antwoord niet altijd correct maar rekentijd is te voorspellen Las Vegas kan Monte Carlo worden en Las Vegas kan Monte Carlo worden mits er gecontroleerd kan worden of het antwoord correct is.

Geometrische verdeling:  $P(X = k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p$  en E[X] = 1/p.